

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**KIMBERLY LARAY GRICE,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**JACKSON-MADISON COUNTY  
GENERAL HOSPITAL DISTRICT,  
a/k/a WEST TENNESSEE  
HEALTHCARE**

**Defendant.**

**No.: 1:11-cv-1363-JDB-egb**

---

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING  
IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL**

---

On referral for determination is Plaintiff's Motion to Compel [D.E. 88], to which Defendant opposes [D.E. 95]. On August 20, 2013, the Court heard oral arguments on Plaintiff's motion. Based upon Plaintiff's motion, Defendant's response in opposition, and oral argument heard in open court, the Court grants in part and denies in part Plaintiff's Motion to Compel. The Court's findings and conclusions are as follows:

In regard to Interrogatory Number 23, Plaintiff seeks information for any employee who was disciplined as a result of his or her failure to report to work on January 28-30, 2010. The Court finds that Plaintiff is entitled to the requested information for the employees who worked under the two other clinical nurse managers under Deborah Lewis and Kim Bailey Roberts's supervision. Therefore, Defendant is ordered to produce the requested information for employees who worked under the supervision of clinical nurse manager Sally Burrow on the A7 floor, who

was under Deborah Lewis's supervision, and for the employees who worked under clinical nurse manager Anita Moss on the A8 floor, who was under Kim Bailey-Robert's supervision.

In regard to Interrogatory Number 25, Plaintiff seeks corrective action plans of other employees. The Court finds that Plaintiff is not entitled to these documents. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion to compel is denied.

In regard to Request for Production Number 21, Plaintiff seeks the metadata associated with Exhibit Number 86 of Deborah Lewis's deposition. Upon agreement of the parties, the Court finds that this issue is reserved for a motion in limine. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion to compel is denied.

In regard to Request for Production Number 26, Plaintiff seeks the employment file of Richard Wood. The Court finds that Plaintiff is entitled to Wood's employment file. Therefore, Defendant is ordered to produce the employment file of Richard Wood.

**IT IS SO ORDERED** this the 6<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2013.

**s/Edward G. Bryant**  
EDWARD G. BRYANT  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE